

2/16/18

Re: Chapter 13 Case No. 5:17-bk-04168-JJT

To the Honorable Judge Thomas:

I was deceived into believing this Court had jurisdiction to void fraudulent mortgage by my lawyer Tullio De Luca. His purpose was solely to pad his legal fees. This is why I provided conclusive documentary evidence of fraudulent "double billing" to Trustee De Hart to be forwarded to this Court.

The first time I became aware of the Rooker-Feldman doctrine was in Plaintiff's Objection to the Plan.

Bankruptcy is a needless diversion from the real relief - the appeal, which will be the second to be ruled in my favor.

It is virtually unconceivable that a lawyer who has specialized in Bankruptcy for over 25 yrs was unaware of such a prominent restriction as Rooker-Feldman doctrine.

I am petitioning this Court to vacate ~~and~~ ^{all} Bankruptcy fee agreements I have with De Luca on the grounds that I entered into ^{them} under false pretext.

I am also requesting a hearing so that I may make known to this Court the whole story of this case which has been going on for 12 yrs.

Thank you.

Respectfully submitted
James Brolley

U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
WICHITA FALLS, TX

2018 FEB 20 AM 11:49



James Brolley
150 Laurel Rd.
Mt. Tops PA 18707

LETTRE DE M. DE L'ESTRÉE

卷之三

U.S. Bankruptcy Court
274 Max Rosen U.S. Courthouse
197 South Main St.
Wilkes-Barre, PA 18701

1870-150099

卷之三